

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MAINE

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| JUSTAN DANIEL ADAMS, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff |) | |
| v. |) | 1:14-cv-00072-JAW |
| |) | |
| STATE OF MAINE CO WORKERS, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants |) | |

RECOMMENDED DECISION

On February 20, 2014, Plaintiff Justan Daniel Adams filed a complaint naming “State of Maine Co Workers” and others as Defendants. (ECF No. 1.) As the Complaint was not signed nor accompanied by either payment of the filing fee or an Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis*, the Court issued an Order directing Plaintiff to pay the filing fee or file an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and provide a signed Complaint by March 19, 2014. (ECF No. 3.) On March 3, 2014, Plaintiff filed an appropriate Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 5), and on March 13, 2014, filed a signed Complaint (ECF No. 7). On March 17, 2014, the Court granted Plaintiff’s Application to Proceed *in forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 8). In its Order of March 17, the Court ordered Plaintiff to notify the Court no later than March April 7, 2014, of his intent to proceed with this action, acknowledging that he understood his obligation to pay the complete filing fee as the funds became available to him.

Through the Order, the Court informed Plaintiff that should he fail to comply fully with the Order, the Court would issue a recommendation that the action be dismissed. As of the date of this Recommended Decision, Plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of his intention to proceed.

Accordingly, it is recommended that the Complaint be **DISMISSED** due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this action.

NOTICE

A party may file objections to those specified portions of a magistrate judge's report or proposed findings or recommended decisions entered pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) (1993) for which *de novo* review by the district court is sought, together with a supporting memorandum, within fourteen (14) days of being served with a copy thereof. A responsive memorandum shall be filed within fourteen (14) days after the filing of the objection.

Failure to file a timely objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the district court and to appeal the district court's order.

April 8, 2014

/s/ John C. Nivison
U.S. Magistrate Judge